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#### Abstract

A simple recursive relation is derived for the moments $M_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$, of the Percus-Yevick correlation function $h(r)$ for identical hard spheres. The $M_{n}$ are rational functions of the volume fraction $w$ occupied by the spheres; the first ten are given explicitly, and a single-term asymptotic form is obtained to suffice for the rest. Applications of the $M_{n}(w)$ include testing different approximations for $h$ by numerical integration of $h(r) r^{n}$. We compare exact moments with shell approximations $M_{n}\left[h^{s}\right]$ corresponding to integration from $r=0$ to $s+1$ for $s=3-8$, and with hybrid approximations $M_{n}\left[h^{s}+h^{a}\right]$ which supplement the shell approximations with integrals of an asymptotic tail from $s+1$ to $\infty$. For a given $s$, the hybrid approximation is better for $w$ increasing than the shell approximation, and $M_{n}\left[h^{3}+h^{a}\right]$ is even better than $M_{n}\left[h^{8}\right]$.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The solution of the Percus-Yevick (PY) equation ${ }^{(1)}$ for the radial distribution function $g(r)$ of a classical fluid of identical hard spheres was obtained by Wertheim ${ }^{(2)}$ and by Thiele ${ }^{(3)}$ in terms of the Laplace transform $\mathscr{L}\{\operatorname{rg}(r)\}=G(t)$. Here $r$ is the distance from the center of one sphere divided by the sphere diameter $d$, so that $g(r)=0$ for $r<1$, and $g(r)=g(w ; r)$ depends on only one parameter: the volume fraction occupied by the spheres, $w=\rho \pi d^{3} / 6$, with $\rho$ the number density. Piecewise analytic expressions for $g(r)$ at given $r$ in the shells $s<r<s+1$ for $s=1,2, \ldots$, can be obtained ${ }^{(2)}$ by expanding the inverse transform $\mathscr{L}^{-1}\{G(t)\}$ in a geometrical progression and summing the residues of the

[^0]terms $\left(g_{m}\right)$ from $m=1$ to $s$. The exact results in the range $0 \leqslant r<s+1$ will be indicated by $g^{s}$.

Wertheim gave the closed from for $g_{1}$, and analogs through $g_{5}$ and tabulated values are available ${ }^{(4-6)}$ for $r \leqslant 6$. Such shell expansions have relatively broad applicability, but we found them unsuitable except for small $w$ for numerical investigations of integral equations ${ }^{(7)}$ for multiple scattering by correlated random distributions of spherical resonators. We extended the shell development to $g_{8}$, considered the residue series for the complete ${ }^{(2)} \mathscr{L}^{-1}\{G\}$ (which exhibits a Gibbs-like effect near $r=1$, but whose leading term $g^{a}$ for moderately large $r$ approximates $g^{s}$ ), as well as a hybrid approximation ( $g^{b}$ ) based on $g^{s}$ for $r \leqslant s+1$ and $g^{a}$ for $r>s+1$. Although these extensions suffice for larger $w$ than $g^{5}$, the most stable computational routines we developed for even moderately large $w$ were based on the moments $M_{n}$ of the total correlation function $h=g-1$. The present paper deals primarily with the moments and their applications to test shell $\left(h^{s}\right)$ and hybrid ( $h^{b}$ ) forms of $h$ by numerical integration.

The moments

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}=\int_{0}^{\infty} d r h(w ; r) r^{n}=M_{n}(w), \quad h=g-1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are simple rational functions of $w$. The first three are available in the literature, ${ }^{(4,8,9)}$ and we may reconstruct these and obtain additional moments by symbolic computer differentiation of $\mathscr{L}\{r h(r)\}=H(t)$. However, it is much more convenient to work with a recursive relation for the $M_{n}$ based on Baxter's equation ${ }^{(10)}$ for the PY $h$.

Section 2 provides a form of $H(t)$ suitable for symbolic differentiation, and then derives the recursive relation for the $M_{n}$. The first ten moments $M_{n}(w)$ are displayed in Fig. 1 and listed in the Appendix. Section 3 derives an asymptotic series $M_{n} \sim \sum_{v} M_{n}^{v}$ for large $n$ based on the residues at the roots $t_{v}(w)$ of the denominator ${ }^{(2)}$ of $H(t)$. Figure 2 graphs the first five roots, and Table I provides numerical values for the dominant root $t_{1}(w)$ (and for basic magnitude $U_{1}$ and phase $u_{1}$ functions); a one-term approximation $M_{n}^{1}$ suffices for $n>6$ and $w>0.01$. Section 4 considers shell expansions $g^{s}=h^{s}+1$ and compares exact $M_{n}(w)$ with shell approximations $M_{n}\left[h^{s}\right]$ based on numerical integration of $h^{s} r^{n}$ from $r=0$ to $s+1$ for $s=3-8$. Figure 3 displays $g(w ; r)$ to $r=9$ and $w=0.6$, and Fig. 4 compares $M_{2}\left[h^{s}\right]$ and $M_{6}\left[h^{s}\right]$ with the exact moments. Section 5 considers the convergent residue for $h(r)=\sum_{v} h^{(v)}$. Figure 5 compares exact shall results with residue sequences for $w=0.2$ and 0.6 to show the Gibbs-like effect near the discontinuity at $r=1$. Figure 6 shows that the leading residue term $h^{(1)}=h^{a}$ (which follows directly from Table I) suffices for $r>5$ even for $w=0.6$.

Figure 4 also shows that the hybrid $M_{6}\left[h^{s}+h^{a}\right]=M_{6}\left[h^{s}\right]+\int_{s+1}^{\infty} d r h^{a} r^{6}$ approximation is much better than the shell approximation for a given $s$, and that $M_{6}\left[h^{3}+h^{a}\right]$ is even better than $M_{6}\left[h^{8}\right]$; the hybrid curves for $M_{2}$ included in Fig. 4 practically overlay the exact results.

## 2. MOMENTS OF THE CORRELATION FUNCTION

The exact leading terms of $h$ for small $w$ equal ${ }^{(11)}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h=-1, & 0 \leqslant r<1 \\
h=w\left(8-6 r+r^{3} / 2\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(w^{2}\right), & 1 \leqslant r<2 \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

which also follow from the PY equation. ${ }^{(1)}$ Substituting in (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}=-\frac{1}{n+1}+w \frac{2^{n+5} 3-\left(5 n^{2}+39 n+82\right)}{2(n+1)(n+2)(n+4)}+\mathscr{O}\left(w^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact $w^{2}$ contribution to $h$ is also known ${ }^{(12)}$ in terms of elementary functions, and the PY approximation can be identified directly by comparison of forms in refs. 12 and 1 . Although such expansions of $h$ suffice for small $w$, (3) indicates that corresponding expansions of $M_{n}$ are restricted to smaller $w$ as $n$ increases. In the following we consider closed forms of $M_{n}(w)$ for the PY $h$ without restrictions on $w$ or $n$.

The generating function of the moments is $\mathscr{L}\{r h(r)\}=H(t)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
H(t) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} d r r h(r) e^{t r}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^{n}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\infty} d r h(r) r^{n+1} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} M_{n}  \tag{4}\\
M_{n} & =(-1)^{n-1} \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d^{n-1}}{d t^{n-1}} H(t) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

From Wertheim, ${ }^{(2)}$ we write $\mathscr{L}\{r g\}=G$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=t L(t) / D(t), \quad D(t)=12 w L(t)+S(t) e^{t} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(t)=(1-w)^{2} t^{3}+6 w(1-w) t^{2}+18 w^{2} t-12 w(1-w) \\
& L(t)=(1+w / 2) t+1+2 w
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=G(t)-t^{-2}=t L(t) / D(t)-t^{-2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (5) may be performed by machine ${ }^{(13)}$ operations on the equivalent form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t)=\frac{L(t)\left[E_{2}(t)-12 w E_{5}(t)\right]-(1+w / 2)^{2}}{\left[1+12 w t E_{4}(t)\right] L(t)-t(1+2 w)(1+w / 2)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
E_{n}(t)=t^{-n}\left[e^{-t}-\sum_{v=0}^{n-1}(-t)^{\nu} / v!\right]
$$

The Fourier transform representation of the structure factor

$$
\begin{align*}
F(K) & =1+(6 w / \pi) \int d \mathbf{r} h(r) \exp (i \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \\
& =1+(24 w / K) \int_{0}^{\infty} d r r h(r) \sin (K r) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

generates the even moments

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(K)=1+24 w \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-K^{2}\right)^{n-1}}{(2 n-1)!} M_{2 n}=1+24 w M_{2}-24 w \frac{K^{2} M_{4}}{3!}+\cdots \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F(K)=F(w ; K)$ must vanish for the unrealizable bound $w=1$ (corresponding to zero fluctuation scattering for a uniform medium), we require $M_{2}(1)=-1 / 24$ and $M_{2 n}(1)=0$ for $n \geqslant 2$. The PY $F$ is also known in closed form ${ }^{(14)}$; in particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w ; 0)=\frac{(1-w)^{4}}{(1+2 w)^{2}}=1+24 w M_{2}(w) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

vanishes at $w=1$. Equation (11), which also follows ${ }^{(15)}$ directly from the scaled particle ${ }^{(16)}$ equation of state, gives $M_{2}(w)$ in closed form ${ }^{(4)}$ by inspection. The remaining PY $M_{2 n}$ are found to have $F(w ; 0)$ as a factor.

A simpler representation of the $M_{n}$ follows from Baxter's equation ${ }^{(10)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r h(r)=-q^{\prime}(r)+12 w \int_{0}^{1} d t(r-t) h(|r-t|) q(t) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
q(r)(1-w)^{2}=(1+2 w)\left(r^{2}-1\right)-(3 w / 2)(r-1)
$$

with $q(r)=0$ for $r \geqslant 1$, and $q^{\prime}(r)=d q / d r$. Operating on $r h$ with $\int_{0}^{\infty} d r r^{n-1}$,
changing the order of $r$ and $t$ integrations, and using $h(|r-t|)=-1$ for $r<t$, yields

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n}= & -\int_{0}^{1} d r q^{\prime}(r) r^{n-1} \\
& +12 w \int_{0}^{1} d t q(t)\left[\frac{t^{n+1}}{n(n+1)}+\int_{t}^{\infty} d r r^{n-1}(r-t) h(r-t)\right] \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating over $s=r-t$ to obtain

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{m} t^{m} M_{n-m}
$$

we define

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\int_{0}^{1} d r q^{\prime}(r) r^{n-1}=\frac{A}{(1-w)^{2}}, \quad A_{n} \equiv \frac{-[2 n+(n-3) w]}{2 n(n+1)} \\
\int_{0}^{1} d t q(t) t^{m}=\frac{B_{m}}{(1-w)^{2}}, \quad B_{m} \equiv-\frac{-[4+2 m+(m-1) w]}{2(m+1)(m+2)(m+3)}  \tag{14}\\
C_{n}= \\
A_{n}+12 w \frac{B_{n+1}}{n(n+1)}=\frac{\left(n^{2}+9 n+26\right)[3 w-n(2+w)]-12(2+w)^{2}}{2(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4)}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus (13) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(1-w)^{2}=C_{n}+12 w \sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{m} B_{m} M_{n-m} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and shifting the $m=0$ term $12 w B_{0} M_{n}=-w(4-w) M_{n}$ to the left side gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(1+2 w)=C_{n}+12 w \sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{m} B_{m} M_{n-m} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $M_{1}=C_{1} /(1+2 w), M_{2}=\left(C_{2}+12 w B_{1} M_{1}\right) /(1+2 w)$, etc.
It is clear from (16) and (3) that all moments have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(w)=\frac{-\mu_{n}(w ; N)}{(n+1)(1+2 w)^{n}}, \quad \mu_{n}(w ; N)=1+\sum_{1}^{N} a_{v}(-w)^{v} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the polynomial $\mu_{n}$ of order $N$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}=c_{n}-\sum_{1}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{m} \frac{n+1}{n+1-m} b_{m} \mu_{n-m} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{n}=-(n+1) C_{n}(1+2 w)^{n-1}$, and $b_{n}=-12 w B_{n}(1+2 w)^{n-1}$. All $c_{n}$, and $b_{n}$ except $b_{1}$ (which is proportional to $w$ ), are of order $n+1$ in $w$; the order of $\mu_{n}$ (in general that of $b_{2} \mu_{n-2}$ ) is $N=(3 n+1) / 2$ for $n$ odd, and $N=3 n / 2$ for $n$ even.

The Appendix lists the first ten moments (generated by machine ${ }^{(13)}$ ), and Fig. 1 provides a three-dimensional display to delineate trends. For $0<w<1$, the number of extrema (and zeros) is given by $N-n-2>0$, so that successive pairs from $M_{5}, M_{6}$, to $M_{19}, M_{20}$ start with one extremum and end with eight extrema, etc.

## 3. ASYMPTOTIC FORM OF $M_{n}$

Since the recursive relation for $M_{n}$ involves sequential determination of preceding moments, we derive an asymptotic series for large $n$ by working with the residues at the complex roots $\left(t_{v}, t_{v}^{*}\right)$ of $D(t)$ in (6).


Fig. 1. Three-dimensional display to delineate trends of the first ten moments $M_{n}(w)$ of the hard-sphere PY $h$ vs, volume fraction $w$. The values of $-M_{n}(0)$ are $(1+n)^{-1}$. The values of $-M_{n}(1)$ for $n=1,2,3$ are $3 / 20,1 / 24,3 / 350$; the remaining even moments vanish, and the odd are small and alternate in sign.

As indicated by Wertheim, ${ }^{(2)} t_{v}=-\alpha_{v}+i \beta_{v}=-\left|\alpha_{v}\right|+i\left|\beta_{v}\right|$ such that as $w \rightarrow 1, \alpha=0$, and $\beta / 2=\tan (\beta / 2)$. Backtracking the branches numerically yields curves versus $w$ in Fig. 2 that show $\left|t_{v+1}\right|>\left|t_{v}\right|$ for the corresponding simple poles for all $w$.

Thus, for large $n$, from

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}=(-1)^{n} \frac{(n-1)!}{2 \pi i} \oint d t H(t) t^{-n} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a contour around 0 of radius greater than any $\left|t_{v}(w)\right|$ of interest, we obtain ${ }^{(17)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} \sim(-1)^{n}(n-1)!2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{v} t_{v}^{-n+1} L_{v} / D_{v}^{\prime} \equiv \sum M_{n}^{v} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
D_{v}^{\prime}=\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{v}} \frac{d D(t)}{d t}=12 w L_{v}^{\prime}+\left(S_{v}+S_{v}^{\prime}\right) e^{t_{v}}
$$




Fig. 2. First five roots $t_{\nu}=-\alpha_{v}+i \beta_{\nu}$ vs. $w \geqslant 0.001$. Top panel shows $\alpha_{\nu}$, and bottom panel shows $\beta_{v}$ (solid curves) and $\left|t_{v}\right|$ (dashed curves); the lowest curves correspond to $v=1$ and the highest to $v=5$. The values at $w=10^{-6}$ are: $\alpha_{v}=17.109,17.396,17.777,18.149,18.484$; $\beta_{v}=3.537,10.483,17.218,23.803,30.296$.
with $S_{v}=\boldsymbol{S}\left(t_{v}\right)$, etc. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}^{v}=(-1)^{n}(n-1)!\left|t_{v}^{-n}\right| U_{v} \cos \left(u_{v}+n \tau_{v}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $U_{v} e^{i u_{v}}=2 t_{v} L_{v} / D_{v}^{\prime}$ and $\tau_{v}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\beta_{v} / \alpha_{v}\right)$. For $n>6$, the curves of $M_{n}^{1}(w)$ and $M_{n}(w)$ are indistinguishable for $0.01 \leqslant w \leqslant 1$ on the scale of Fig. 1; we may use $M_{n}^{1}$ for $n \geqslant 10$ and $w \geqslant 10^{-3}$, and for $n \geqslant 15$ and $w \geqslant 10^{-6}$. Except for $n=1$, we can obtain better accord for small $w$ by

Table I. Data ${ }^{\alpha}$ versus $w$ for Dominant Root $t_{1}=-\alpha+i \beta=|t| e^{-i T}$
and for $2 t_{1} L_{1} / D_{1}^{\prime}=U e^{i u}$

| $w$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\|t\|$ | $\tau$ | $U$ | $u$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 0.0001 | 11.84249 | 3.72491 | 12.41449 | 0.30474 | 24253.0 | -0.25294 |
| 0.0010 | 9.10273 | 3.90913 | 9.90661 | 0.40563 | 2001.6 | -0.31918 |
| 0.0100 | 6.24844 | 4.25717 | 7.56085 | 0.59808 | 158.03 | -0.43333 |
| 0.0200 | 5.35555 | 4.42760 | 6.94878 | 0.69083 | 72.747 | -0.48600 |
| 0.0300 | 4.82227 | 4.55123 | 6.63083 | 0.75649 | 46.067 | -0.52353 |
| 0.0400 | 4.43754 | 4.65285 | 6.42968 | 0.80908 | 33.261 | -0.55417 |
| 0.0500 | 4.13469 | 4.74131 | 6.29092 | 0.85364 | 25.811 | -0.58078 |
| 0.0600 | 3.88386 | 4.82086 | 6.19073 | 0.89263 | 20.968 | -0.60474 |
| 0.0700 | 3.66906 | 4.89396 | 6.11660 | 0.92748 | 17.581 | -0.62681 |
| 0.0800 | 3.48070 | 4.96214 | 6.06120 | 0.95910 | 15.088 | -0.64747 |
| 0.0900 | 3.31259 | 5.02646 | 6.01985 | 0.98810 | 13.181 | -0.66706 |
| 0.1000 | 3.16050 | 5.08765 | 5.98941 | 1.01493 | 11.678 | -0.68579 |
| 0.1250 | 2.83234 | 5.23028 | 5.94794 | 1.07448 | 9.0321 | -0.72988 |
| 0.1500 | 2.55722 | 5.36226 | 5.94081 | 1.12581 | 7.3201 | -0.77130 |
| 0.1750 | 2.31893 | 5.48696 | 5.95685 | 1.17094 | 6.1290 | -0.81100 |
| 0.2000 | 2.10781 | 5.60652 | 5.98966 | 1.21119 | 5.2573 | -0.84961 |
| 0.2500 | 1.74428 | 5.83581 | 6.09091 | 1.28036 | 4.0770 | -0.92497 |
| 0.3000 | 1.43679 | 6.05802 | 6.22607 | 1.33793 | 3.3263 | -0.99929 |
| 0.3500 | 1.17010 | 6.27791 | 6.38602 | 1.38653 | 2.8173 | -1.07338 |
| 0.4000 | 0.93590 | 6.49865 | 6.56570 | 1.42776 | 2.4586 | -1.14738 |
| 0.4500 | 0.72980 | 6.72232 | 6.76182 | 1.46266 | 2.2010 | -1.22080 |
| 0.5000 | 0.54986 | 6.95002 | 6.97174 | 1.49184 | 2.0159 | -1.29245 |
| 0.5500 | 0.39577 | 7.18180 | 7.19270 | 1.51575 | 1.8852 | -1.36043 |
| 0.6000 | 0.26817 | 7.41634 | 7.42119 | 1.53465 | 1.7967 | -1.42215 |
| 0.6500 | 0.16787 | 7.65077 | 7.65261 | 1.54886 | 1.7403 | -1.47463 |
| 0.7000 | 0.09470 | 7.88068 | 7.88125 | 1.55878 | 1.7062 | -1.51534 |
| 0.7500 | 0.04656 | 8.10097 | 8.10111 | 1.56505 | 1.6848 | -1.54326 |
| 0.8000 | 0.01898 | 8.30727 | 8.30729 | 1.56851 | 1.6673 | -1.55959 |
| 0.8500 | 0.00585 | 8.49741 | 8.49741 | 1.57011 | 1.6479 | -1.56738 |
| 0.9000 | 0.00111 | 8.67203 | 8.67203 | 1.57067 | 1.6247 | -1.57016 |
| 0.9500 | 0.00007 | 8.83390 | 8.83390 | 1.57079 | 1.5987 | -1.57076 |
| 1.0000 | 0.00000 | 8.98682 | 8.98682 | 1.57080 | 1.5720 | -1.57080 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]retaining additional terms in $v$; however, since the exact $M_{n}(w)$ are known, we consider only $M_{n}^{1}$. Supressing the subscript $v=1$, we have
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} \sim M_{n}^{1} \equiv M_{n}^{a}=(-1)^{n}(n-1)!\left|t^{-n}\right| U \cos (u+n \tau) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Table I lists $w$ and values for $t_{1}=t=-\alpha+i \beta=|t| e^{-i t}$, and for the corresponding $U(w)$ and $u(w)$. This table is also appropriate for a following development of $h$.

## 4. SHELL EXPANSIONS OF $g$

As discussed by Wertheim, ${ }^{(2)} g(r)$ can be obtained in closed form for given $r$ from $\mathscr{L}^{-1}\{G\}$ by expanding $G$ of (6) in powers of $S^{-1}$ and evaluating the residues at the roots ( $t_{0}=\left|t_{0}\right|, t_{1}, t_{2}=t_{1}^{*}$ ) of $S(t)$. Thus, for $r \geqslant 1$,

$$
g(r)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} g_{m}(r), \quad g^{s}(r)=\sum_{1}^{s} g_{m}(r) \quad \text { for } r \leqslant s+1
$$

such that $g_{m}(r)=0$ for $r<m$, and for $r \geqslant m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rg}_{m}(r)=\frac{(-12 w)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \sum_{l=0}^{2} \lim _{t \rightarrow t_{i}} \frac{d^{m-1}}{d t^{m-1}}\left\{\left(t-t_{l}\right)^{m} t\left[\frac{L(t)}{S(t)}\right]^{m} e^{t(r-m)}\right\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{m}(m)=0$ for $m>1$. The results may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r g_{m}(r)=\sum_{l=0}^{2} C_{l}(m, 0) e^{t(r-m)} \sum_{k=1}^{m} C_{l}(m, k)(r-m)^{k-1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wertheim ${ }^{(2)}$ gives forms of the coefficients for $m=1$, and forms for $m \leqslant 5$ are given by Smith and Henderson, ${ }^{(4,5)}$ who include numerical comparisons of shell integrations and $M_{2}$ for several values of $w$; numerical tables for $g(w ; r)$ are given in ref. 6.

Corresponding forms for the $C_{l}$ for $m \leqslant 8$ (obtained by machine computations ${ }^{(13)}$ ) are implicit in Fig. 3, which displays $g(w ; r)$ to $r=9$ and $w=0.6$. The first minimum of $g$ equals zero at $w \approx 0.61257 \equiv w_{0}$ (for $r \approx 1.3094$ ), and $g$ is negative ${ }^{(6)}$ and physically unrealistic at slightly larger $w$. [The measured ${ }^{(18)}$ values of $w$ for loose and dense random close packing of ball bearings ( $0.60 \pm 0.02$ and $0.63 \pm 0.01$ ) bracket $w_{0}$.]

The correlation function for $r$ in one of the first $s$ shells is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{s}(r)=-1+g^{s}(r)=-1+\sum_{m=1}^{s} g_{m}(r), \quad 1 \leqslant r \leqslant s+1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 3. Plot of PY $g(w ; r)$ for $0<w \leqslant 0.6$ and $1 \leqslant r \leqslant 9$. At $r=1$, the curve of $g(w ; 1)$ is the PY closed form $(1+w / 2) /(1-w)^{2}$.

We obtain $s$-shell approximations for the moments by numerical integration,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}\left[h^{s}\right] \equiv \int_{0}^{s+1} d r h^{s}(r) r^{n} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and compare with the exact $M_{n}$ to obtain ranges of validity $0 \leqslant w \leqslant w(s, n)$. For given $n, w(s, n)$ increases moderately with increasing $s$; for given $s$, $w(s, n)$ decreases markedly with increasing $n$. The essentials are indicated by the dashed curves $s=3-8$ in Fig. 4 for $M_{2}\left[h^{s}\right]$ and $M_{6}\left[h^{s}\right]$. (The dotted curves will be discussed subsequently.)

## 5. RESIDUE SERIES FOR $\boldsymbol{g}$

Wertheim ${ }^{(2)}$ also considered the poles of $\mathscr{L}^{-1}\{G\}$ at the roots of $D(t)$ and indicated that the behavior of $h(r)$ for large $r$ would be determined by


Fig. 4. The dashed curves that depart from the exact solid curves $M_{2}(w)$ and $M_{6}(w)$ at increasing values of $w$ correspond to increasing the number of shell terms (from $s=3$ to 8 ) in the approximate $M_{n}\left[h^{s}\right]$ of (27). The dotted curves that depart at larger values of $w$ are based on the hybrid approximation $M_{6}\left[h^{s}+h^{a}\right]$ as in (31). The hybrid $M_{6}\left[h^{3}+h^{a}\right]$ is even better than $M_{6}\left[h^{8}\right]$. The hybrid $M_{2}\left[h^{s}+h^{a}\right]$ curves practically overlay the exact $M_{2}$.
the pair of complex roots closest to the imaginary axis. For $r>1$, and symbols as for (20) and (21),

$$
\begin{equation*}
r h(r)=2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} t_{v} L_{v} e^{r t_{v}} / D_{v}^{\prime}=\sum_{v} U_{v} e^{-r \alpha_{v}} \cos \left(r \beta_{v}+u_{v}\right) \equiv r \sum_{v} h^{(v)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

with roots $t_{v}=-\alpha_{v}+i \beta_{v}$ as in Fig. 2. This residue series is rapidly convergent except in the neighborhood of $r=1$ (the single discontinuity of $h$ ) where successive sequences exhibit a Gibbs-like effect. For any finite number $\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ of terms, the peak of $g$ occurs for $r>1$; as $v^{\prime}$ increases (a larger $v^{\prime}$ is required for larger $w$ ), the peak approaches $r=1$ and its magnitude overshoots the PY $g(1)=(1+w / 2) /(1-w)^{2}$. Figure 5 for $w=0.2$ and 0.6 shows the essentials for $v^{\prime}=(1,5,10,100)$; the overshoot at $r \approx 1.005$ for $v^{\prime}=100$ is about $9 \%$ for the smaller $w$ and $9.4 \%$ for the larger.

For large $r$ and $w<1$, we need retain only the least damped exponential term

$$
\begin{equation*}
r h(r) \approx 2 \operatorname{Re}\left(t_{1} L_{1} e^{r 1_{1}} / D_{1}^{\prime}\right)=U e^{-r x} \cos (\beta r+u)=r h^{(1)} \equiv r h^{a}(r) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 5. Comparison of the exact $g(r)$ (solid curves) for $w=0.2$ and $w=0.6$ with $v^{\prime}$-term residue sequence approximations (dashed or dotted curves) of (28) for $v^{\prime}=(1,5,10,100)$ to show the Gibbs-like effect; with increasing $\nu^{\prime}$, the approximations improve except for $r \approx 1$. The peak of the dotted curves $\left(v^{r}=100\right)$ at $r \approx 1.005$ overshoots the PY $g$ values of 1.708 and 7.805 for $w=0.2$ and 0.6 by about $8.985 \%$ and $9.395 \%$, respectively.

The subscript 1 is suppressed, and Table I applies for $U, u, \alpha$, and $\beta$. As shown in Fig. 6, $h^{a}$ suffices for $r>3$ at $w=0.2$, and for $r>5$ at $w=0.6$. Thus, $h^{a}$ supplements the shell expansion by an asymptotic tail, and provides a hybrid approximation $h \approx h^{b}$ for all $r$. For simplicity, we use

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
h^{b}(r)=h^{s}(r) & \text { for } & 1 \leqslant r \leqslant s+1 \\
h^{b}(r)=h^{a}(r) & \text { for } & r>s+1 \tag{30}
\end{array}
$$

The corresponding hybrid approximation of the moments equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}\left[h^{s}+h^{a}\right]=M_{n}\left[h^{s}\right]+\int_{s+1}^{\infty} d r h^{a}(r) r^{n} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we may integrate $h^{a} r^{n}$ directly. Figure 4 compares dashed curves $M_{6}\left[h^{s}\right]$ and dotted curves $M_{6}\left[h^{s}+h^{a}\right]$ for $s=38$ with the exact solid


Fig. 6. Comparison of the exact $g$ (solid curve) and leading residue term $g^{(1)}=g^{a}$ (dashed curve) based on (29) for $w=0.2$ and $w=0.6$. The one-term approximation $g^{a}$ suffices at $w=0.2$ for $r>3$ and at $w=0.6$ for $r>5$.
curve $M_{6}$; for given $s$, the hybrid approximation holds for larger $w$, and $M_{6}\left[h^{3}+h^{a}\right]$ is even better than $M_{6}\left[h^{8}\right]$. The hybrid dotted curves $M_{2}\left[h^{s}+h^{a}\right]$ in Fig. 4 practically overlay the exact solid curve $M_{2}$. The hybrid is better than the shell approximation because $h^{b}$ reduces the effects of the discontinuity of $h^{s}$ at $r=s+1$; an improved version may follow from a different match-up point than $s+1$, but this has not been investigated.

## APPENDIX. MOMENTS OF THE PY TOTAL CORRELATION FUNCTION $h$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=-\frac{10-2 w+w^{2}}{10 \cdot 2(1+2 w)} \\
& M_{2}=-\frac{(4-w)\left(2+w^{2}\right)}{8 \cdot 3(1+2 w)^{2}}=-\frac{8-2 w+4 w^{2}-w^{3}}{8 \cdot 3(1+2 w)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{3}=-\frac{\left(175-260 w+421 w^{2}-229 w^{3}+62 w^{4}-7 w^{5}\right)}{175 \cdot 4(1+2 w)^{3}} \\
& M_{4}=-\frac{(1-w)^{4}\left(16-11 w+4 w^{2}\right)}{16 \cdot 5(1+2 w)^{4}} \\
& M_{5}=-\left(10500-117500 w+346930 w^{2}\right. \\
&-557372 w^{3}+518840 w^{4}-297700 w^{5} \\
&\left.+101255 w^{6}-17130 w^{7}+756 w^{8}\right) / 10500 \cdot 6(1+2 w)^{5} \\
& M_{6}=-\frac{(1-w)^{4}\left(20-386 w+627 w^{2}-494 w^{3}+173 w^{4}-21 w^{5}\right)}{20 \cdot 7(1+2 w)^{6}} \\
& M_{7}=-\left(404250-18203500 w+148479200 w^{2}\right. \\
&-507844540 w^{3}+996929822 w^{4} \\
&-1246675192 w^{5}+1040639978 w^{6}-582685390 w^{7} \\
&+212379965 w^{8}-46596616 w^{9}+5053356 w^{10} \\
&\left.-116424 w^{11}\right) / 404250 \cdot 8(1+2 w)^{7} \\
& M_{8}=-(1-w)^{4}\left(800-63540 w+620112 w^{2}\right. \\
&-1497976 w^{3}+1841640 w^{4}-1271145 w^{5} \\
&\left.+495980 w^{6}-97656 w^{7}+6048 w^{8}\right) / 800 \cdot 9(1+2 w)^{8} \\
& M_{9}=-\left(500500-75540500 w+1560277375 w^{2}\right. \\
&-11161907350 w^{3}+41072677500 w^{4} \\
&-93389033916 w^{5}+142984464462 w^{6} \\
&-153929553204 w^{7}+118569194898 w^{8} \\
& M_{10}=-\left(1-65226852406 w^{9}+25074984188 w^{10}\right. \\
&\left.-1549540524 w^{9}+6150144 w^{10}-232848 w^{11}\right) / 2800 \cdot 11(1+2 w)^{10} \\
&\left.-71735664 w^{13}+1009008 w^{14}\right) / 500500 \cdot 10(1+2 w)^{9} \\
&- 745392368 w^{5}+753789316 w^{6}-489600083 w^{7}+201915820 w^{8} \\
&-743900 w+20841976 w^{2} \\
& \hline 10+983239972 w^{12} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$
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